

United States Parent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,174	09/25/2000	Robert W. Horst	20206-112 (PT-600)	3648
25696	7590 09/07/2004		EXAM	INER
	MER WOLFF-& DON	TON, DANG T		
P. O. BOX 10356 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}$
`	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/670,174	HORST ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANG T TON	2666
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CER 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Section 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2666

1. Claims 1-7 are objected to under 37 C.F.R 1.75 because of the following formalities:

In claim 1 line 3, "a source node "seems to refer back to "a source node" recited at line 1. If this is true, it is suggested to change "a source node "to --- the source node ---. The same is true with the terms "a destination node "recited in claim 1; "a source node "and "a destination node "recited in claim 3; and "each first level router "recited in claim 6.

Claims 2,4,5, and 7 are objected since they depend from claim 1,3, or 6.

2. Claims 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4 line 8, "the barrier transaction "has no antecedent basis. The same is true with the term "said selected number" recited in claim 5.

- 3. Claims 4-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection under 37 C.F.R 1.75.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,174

Art Unit: 2666

Epps et al. (6,778,546 and 6,721,316) are all cited to show systems which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANG T TON whose telephone number is 571-272-3171. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-WED, 5:30 AM-6:00 PM and Thur 5:30-9:30 A.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Ton

DANG TON RIMARY EKAMBE